

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/604,328	Applicant(s) BLANCO ET AL.	
	Examiner Nitin C. Patel	Art Unit 2116	

All Participants:

(1) Nitin C. Patel.

(2) Mr. Friedman Jack [reg. # 44688].

Date of Interview: 19 June 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
16

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner initiated an interview with attorney regarding typographical errors in the claim 16. Attorney has authorized the examiner to correct it with examiner's amendment and expediate the prosecution.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Nitin C. Patel 6/19/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)